Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,916	VILSER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jordan M. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Miller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 58		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The change to claim 58, set forth in the examiner's amendment, was discussed and agreed upon to clarify a lack of antecedent basis.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Jordan M. Schwartz/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)